



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (July 2022)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: April 04, 2024

TIME: 2:13 PM

WSR 24-09-008

Agency: WA Military Department, Emergency Management Division

Original Notice

Supplemental Notice to WSR _____

Continuance of WSR _____

Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 23-21-009 ; or

Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR _____; or

Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or

Proposal is exempt under RCW _____.

Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC 118-66, Enhanced 9-1-1 Funding; WAC 118-67, Wireless Enhances 9-1-1 Calls from Radio Communications Service Companies-Technical And Operational Standards; WAC 118-68, Requires for Automatic Location Identification

Hearing location(s):

Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:
05/30/2024	11:00 AM	Join the meeting now!	For accessibility reasons for various 911 stakeholders across the state, this meeting will be held virtually.

Date of intended adoption: 06/07/2024 (Note: This is **NOT** the **effective** date)

Submit written comments to:

Name: Adam Wasserman
Address: 20 Aviation Dr, Camp Murray, WA 98430
Email: adam.wasserman@mil.wa.gov
Fax:
Other:
By (date) _____

Assistance for persons with disabilities:

Contact Adam Wasserman
Phone: 253-512-7468
Fax:
TTY:
Email: adam.wasserman@mil.wa.gov
Other:
By (date) _____

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: Update WACs 118-66, 118-67 and 118-68 to be in alignment with associated RCWs that have already undergone revision and been implemented. The purpose of these changes is to remove redundant language, update verbiage to reflect current technologies, and remove obsolete references.

Reasons supporting proposal: The reason for this proposal is to be in alignment with the modernization of the statewide 911 emergency communications system, as outlined in HB 1703, and the associated revisions that have already been implemented to associated RCWs.

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 38.52.520

Statute being implemented: RCW 38.52.520

Is rule necessary because of a:

- Federal Law? Yes No
- Federal Court Decision? Yes No
- State Court Decision? Yes No

If yes, CITATION:

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:

Type of proponent: Private Public Governmental

Name of proponent: (person or organization) State 911 Coordination Office

Name of agency personnel responsible for:			
	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting:	Jason Fritz	710 W 13 th St, Vancouver WA 98660	360-992-9210
Implementation:	Adam Wasserman	20 Aviation Dr, Camp Murray WA 98430	253-512-7468
Enforcement:	Adam Wasserman	20 Aviation Dr, Camp Murray WA 98430	253-512-7468

Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under [RCW 28A.305.135](#)? Yes No

If yes, insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:

Name:
Address:
Phone:
Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under [RCW 34.05.328](#)?

Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:
Name:
Address:
Phone:
Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

No: Please explain: No fiscal impact.

Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement
Note: The [Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance \(ORIA\)](#) provides support in completing this part.

(1) Identification of exemptions:
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, **may be exempt** from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see [chapter 19.85 RCW](#)). For additional information on exemptions, consult the [exemption guide published by ORIA](#). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under [RCW 19.85.061](#) because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.
Citation and description:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by [RCW 34.05.313](#) before filing the notice of this proposed rule.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of [RCW 15.65.570\(2\)](#) because it was adopted by a referendum.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under [RCW 19.85.025\(3\)](#). Check all that apply:

<input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b) (Internal government operations)	<input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e) (Dictated by statute)
<input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c) (Incorporation by reference)	<input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f) (Set or adjust fees)
<input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d) (Correct or clarify language)	<input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g) (i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under [RCW 19.85.025\(4\)](#) (does not affect small businesses).

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW _____.

Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule:

(2) Scope of exemptions: *Check one.*

- The rule proposal is fully exempt (*skip section 3*). Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.
- The rule proposal is partially exempt (*complete section 3*). The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using [this template from ORIA](#)):
- The rule proposal is not exempt (*complete section 3*). No exemptions were identified above.

(3) Small business economic impact statement: *Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.*

If any portion of the proposed rule is **not exempt**, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?

- No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs. _____
- Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

Name:

Address:

Phone:

Fax:

TTY:

Email:

Other:

Date: 03/26/2024

Name: Adam R. Wasserman

Title: Assistant Director for Emergency Communications

Signature:

